Observation of Structures in the $J/\Psi\phi$ from $B^+ \to J/\psi\phi K^+$ Decay at CMS

Kai Yi
University of Iowa

SLAC Experimental Seminar, Dec 18, 2012

Outline

- *Introduction to exotic mesons*
- *Motivation for $J/\Psi\phi$ structures*
- *Status of $J/\Psi\phi$ before CMS result*
- *CMS detector and trigger*
- *$J/\Psi\phi$ Analysis strategy and event selection*
- *Results*
- *Summary*

Quark Model

- *The birth of quark model (M. Gell-Mann & G. Zweig): M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Lett. 8, 214 (1964)*



- *Heavy top decays before forming bound states light quarks exist as bound states*
  - *Baryons:* (qqq)



  - *Mesons:* $(q\bar{q})$
    quarkonia: $(s\bar{s})$, $(c\bar{c})$ $(b\bar{b})$ *(hidden)*



- J/Ψ *establishes the quark model,* $\Upsilon(1S)$ *further confirms it*

- *Gell-Mann also suggested exotic states* $(qq\bar{q}\bar{q})$, $(qqq\bar{q}\bar{q})$ *at the birth of quark model, but evidence has never been solidly established*

Revitalized by recently discovered charmonium-like states despite almost a decade, still mysterious!

From strange to bottom discovery

Ω^- discovery *J/ψ ($\bar{c}c$) discovery* *Υ ($\bar{b}b$) discovery*

BNL





BNL



SLAC



FNAL



1964 ***1968*** ***1974*** ***1974*** ***1977***

Heavy flavor quarkonium spectroscopy helped turn quarks into a reality!

What we can learn from quarkonium-like spectroscopy?

Charmonium ($c\bar{c}$) Potential Model (Cornell Model)

- *simple QCD-inspired phenomenological potential :*

$$V(r) = -\frac{\kappa}{r} + \frac{r}{a^2}, \quad \kappa = 0.61, m_c = 1.84\ \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{a} = 2.38\ \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$$

- ***non-relativistic*** *(charm quark is "heavy" compared to binding energy)*

- *quark confinement (increases linearly with separation)*

- *extendable to include spin-dependent terms, relativistic corrections, etc.*

- *Lattice QCD provides calculation of the masses and widths*

Eichten et. al., PRD 17, 3090 (1978)
Godfrey & Isgur, PRD 32, 189 (1985)
Barnes et. al., PRD 72, 054026 (2005

Charmonium States

Notation:

$^{2S+1}[L]_J$

L=S,P,D (0,1,2) (No cand. *with* L>=3)

J = L+S

S($q\bar{q}$) = 0 or 1

Parity: **P = (-1)$^{L+1}$**

Charge conjugation eigen*vales:* **C=(-1)$^{L+S}$**

***N**: Radial Quantum Numbers*

Quantum numbers				Name	Mass (MeV/c²)	width(MeV)
N	L	J^{PC}	$N^{2S+1}L_J$			
1	0	0^{-+}	1^1S_0	**η_c(1S)**	2980.4±1.2	26.7±3
1	0	1^{--}	1^3S_1	**J/ψ**	3096.916±0.011	93.2±0.02 $\times 10^{-3}$
1	1	0^{++}	1^3P_0	**χ_{c0} (1P)**	3414.75±0.31	10.2±0.7
1	1	1^{++}	1^3P_1	**χ_{c1}(1P)**	3510.66±0.07	0.89±0.05
1	1	2^{++}	1^3P_2	**χ_{c2}(1P)**	3556.20±0.09	2.03±0.12
1	1	1^{+-}	1^1P_1	**h_c(1P)**	3525.93±0.27	<1
1	2	1^{--}	1^3D_1	**ψ(3770)**	3772.92±0.35	27.3±1.0
2	0	0^{-+}	2^1S_0	**η_c(2S)**	3637±4	14±7
2	0	1^{--}	2^3S_1	**ψ(2S)**	3686.09±0.04	317±9 $\times 10^{-3}$
2	1	2^{++}	2^3P_2	**χ_{c2}(2P)**	3929±5	29±10
3	0	1^{--}	3^3S_1	**ψ(4040)**	4039±1	80±10
2	2	1^{--}	2^3D_1	**ψ(4160)**	4153±3	103±8
4	0	1^{--}	4^3S_1	**ψ(4415)**	4421±4	62±20

These states work well with charmonium model, until the appearance of X(3872)

Hints before the discovery of $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$

CDF internal, 1994



E705, PRD 50, 4258 (1994)

E705 saw $\psi(3836)$ in 1994?



BaBar internal, 2003



AWG meeting June 2003
motivation: background to $J/\psi\ K_L$; test factorization…

From BaBar B-Factory Symposium (C. Hearty)

http://www-conf.slac.stanford.edu/b-factory-symposium/talks.asp

CDF saw a hint in 1994*, unpublished*

BaBar saw a hint in 2003, unpublished

Both CDF and Babar spotted hints of X(3872) before its discovery!

The mass from E705's $\Psi(3836)$ is low

X(3872)--2003

PRL 91, 262001

$N\,^{2S+1}L_J$	J^{PC}	$u\bar{d}, u\bar{u}, d\bar{d}$ $I=1$	$u\bar{u}, d\bar{d}, s\bar{s}$ $I=0$	$c\bar{c}$ $I=0$
$1\,^1S_0$	0^{-+}	π	η, η'	$\eta_c(1S)$
$1\,^3S_1$	1^{--}	ρ	ω, ϕ	$J/\psi(1S)$
$1\,^1P_1$	1^{+-}	$b_1(1235)$	$h_1(1170)$, $h_1(1380)$	$h_c(1P)$
$1\,^3P_0$	0^{++}	$a_0(1450)^*$	$f_0(1370)^*$, $f_0(1710)^*$	$\chi_{c0}(1P)$
$1\,^3P_1$	1^{++}	$a_1(1260)$	$f_1(1285)$, $f_1(1420)$	$\chi_{c1}(1P)$
$1\,^3P_2$	2^{++}	$a_2(1320)$	$f_2(1270)$, $f_2'(1525)$	$\chi_{c2}(1P)$
$1\,^1D_2$	2^{-+}	$\pi_2(1670)$	$\eta_2(1645)$, $\eta_2(1870)$	
$1\,^3D_1$	1^{--}	$\rho(1700)$	$\omega(1650)$	$\psi(3770)$
$1\,^3D_2$	2^{--}			??
$1\,^3D_3$	3^{--}	$\rho_3(1690)$	$\omega_3(1670)$, $\phi_3(1850)$	
$1\,^3F_4$	4^{++}	$a_4(2040)$	$f_4(2050)$, $f_4(2220)$	
$2\,^1S_0$	0^{-+}	$\pi(1300)$	$\eta(1295)$, $\eta(1440)$	$\eta_c(2S)$
$2\,^3S_1$	1^{--}	$\rho(1450)$	$\omega(1420)$, $\phi(1680)$	$\psi(2S)$
$2\,^3P_2$	2^{++}	$a_2(1700)$	$f_2(1950)$, $f_2(2010)$	
$3\,^1S_0$	0^{-+}	$\pi(1800)$	$\eta(1760)$	

$c\bar{c}$



$X(3872)\rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
M=3871.8±0.7±0.4 MeV
Γ< 3.5 MeV @ 90% CL

(Problematic) features

mass ~70 MeV > 1^3D_2 charmonium, J^{PC} = 1^{++} or 2^{-+}
$M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ peaks as a ρ, C=+, isospin=1 (charmonium--0)
Decays to $J/\Psi\gamma$ & $\Psi'\gamma$, suppressed for 2^{-+}

Mass close to DD, molecule is speculated*
No charged partners observed, tetra-quark?
similar rate as charmoniums at hadron colliders.
mixture of a DD molecule and the 2^3P_1 charmonium?*

First particle challenging charmonium model, revitalized exotic meson study

$Y(3940)\rightarrow J/\psi\omega$, $Y(4260)\rightarrow J\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ --2005

PRL 94, 182002



PRL 95, 142001



Above $D\bar{D}$ & $D\bar{D}^*$ threshold,
Tiny branching fraction expected
New mass and width from BaBar:
$M \approx 3919.1^{+3.8}_{-3.4} \pm 2.0$, $\Gamma \approx 31^{+10}_{-8} \pm 5$ MeV
arXiv:1012.0074 [hep-ex]
at the $J/\psi\omega$ threshold **?**

Well above $D\bar{D}$ & $D\bar{D}^*$ threshold,
Tiny branching fraction expected
$J^{PC}=1^{--}$, plus Y(4350), Y(4660)
too many 1^{--} ?

$Z(4430)^+ \to \psi(2S)\pi^+$--2008

PRL 100, 142001



The first charged charmonium-like state, a smoking gun if confirmed

Babar disagrees with Belle

Many more new states…
They do not fit into charmonium expectation
Has been extended to bottomonium system

Beyond ($q\bar{q}$) mesons: *exotic mesons?*

Exotic Models-I



Molecular

Loosely bound state of a pair of mesons. The dominant binding mechanism should be ***pion exchange****. Being weakly bound the mesons tend to decay as if they were free*

Tetraquark

Bound state of four quarks, i.e. $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$ *in which the quarks group into color triplet scalar or vector clusters*

Strong decays proceed via rearrangement processes

Distinctive features of multi-quark picture with respect to charmonium:

- prediction of many new states

- possible existence of states with non-zero charge, strangeness or both

Exotic Models-II

Charmonium hybrids

States with excited gluonic degrees of freedom;
exotic $J^{PC}=0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, 2^{+-}$... not allowed for charmonium.
Smoking gun for exotic states.

Lattice QCD for 1^{-+}: $m \sim 4.3\pm0.05$ GeV (C. Thomas)

Threshold, cusp, or coupled-channel effect giving a cross section enhancement which may not correspond to resonance production at all

Hadro-charmonium

Light hadrons bounded by van der Waal's force to a charmonium core in the case where the light hadron is a highly excited resonance.

We know something is going on even though we do not know exactly what!
New kind(s) of spectroscopy with complex binding forces?

How about J/ψϕ system? *(threshold @4.116 GeV, VV, C=+)*
(cc) with a mass above 4.116 GeV, expect tiny branching fraction to J/Ψϕ.

Charmonium hybrid ➔ $J/\psi\phi$?

PRD 57, 5653 (1998)
F. Close et al

J^{PC}	Open charm	Hidden charm
0^{+-}	Quantum numbers forbid $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$	$J/\psi\{f_{\{0,1,2\}},(\pi\pi)_S\}$ $h_c\eta;\ \eta_c h_1$ $\chi_{c0}\omega$ $\chi_{c\{1,2\}}\{\omega,h_1,\gamma\}$
0^{--}	D^*D	$h_c(\pi\pi)_S$ $J/\psi\{f_{\{1,2\}},\eta^{(\prime)}\}$ $\chi_{c0}h_1;\ \eta_c\{\omega,\phi\}$ $\chi_{c\{1,2\}}\{\omega,h_1,\gamma\}$
1^{-+}	$D^*D,\ D^*D^*$	$\chi_{c\{0,1,2\}}(\pi\pi)_S$ $\eta_c\{f_{\{1,2\}},\eta^{(\prime)}\}$ $\chi_{c\{1,2\}}\eta$ $\{h_c,J/\psi\}\{\omega,h_1,\phi,\gamma\}$
2^{+-}	$D^*D,\ D^*D^*$	$\{h_c,J/\psi\}\{f_{\{0,1,2\}},(\pi\pi)_S\}$ $\{h_c,J/\psi\}\eta^{(\prime)}$ $\{\eta_c,\chi_{c\{0,1,2\}}\}\{\omega,h_1,\phi,\gamma\}$

Accessible at CMS

Considered to be the ground exotic state, mass prediction from 3.9 to 5.3 GeV
Most recent Lattice QCD calculation: 4.3 ±0.05 GeV

Multi-quark states→$J/\psi\phi$?

J^{PC}	M(MeV)	Decay Channel
0^{++}	3834	-
0^{++}	3927	$J/\psi\ \omega$
0^{-+}	4277(+15)	$J/\psi\ \phi$, $J/\psi\ \omega$, $D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$
0^{-+}	4312(+30)	$J/\psi\ \phi$, $J/\psi\ \omega$, $D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$
0^{--}	4297(-5)	$\psi\ \eta(\eta')$, $D_s^{+} D_s^{*-}$
1^{++}	3890	$J/\psi\ \omega$
1^{+-}	3870	$J/\psi\ \eta$
1^{+-}	3905	$J/\psi\ \eta$
1^{-+}	4321(+15)	$J/\psi\ \omega$, $J/\psi\ \phi$
1^{-+}	4356 (+30)	$J/\psi\ \omega$, $J/\psi\ \phi$
1^{--}	4330	$\psi\ \eta(\eta')$, $D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$; $J/\psi\ f_0(980)$
1^{--}	4341(-5)	$\psi\ \eta(\eta')$, $D_s^{(*)+}\ D_s^{(*)-}$; $J/\psi\ f_0(980)$
1^{--}	4390(+40)	$\psi\ \eta(\eta')$, $D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$; $J/\psi\ f_0(980)$
1^{--}	4289(-41)	$\psi\ \eta(\eta')$, $D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$; $J/\psi\ f_0(980)$

← *arXiv:0902.2803*
N. V. Drenska et al

$J/\psi\phi$ *is well motivated!*

How to search?

Inclusive? Challenge!

Through B decays!

Search structures ➔J/ψϕ through B decays

- *Experimentally attractive to search through clean B→J/ψϕK channel*
  -- taking advantage of B lifetime and narrow B mass window
  -- B➔J/ψϕK is OZI suppressed, so low rate from phase space decays

PRL 84,1393



vacuum polarization

PRL 91, 071801



gluon coupling

The status before Original CDF Report

- *The **status** through $B \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K$:*



$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and ee, 10 B^+ and B^0

BaBar 2003, PRL 91, 071801



$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and ee

- *statistically limited, no structures reported*

First Report by CDF w/ 2.7 fb^{-1} (2009)



PRL 102:242002, 2009

Purity ~80% in B^+ region

Nice ϕ shape



Dalitz plot $\Delta M = m(\mu^+\mu^-K^+K^-) - m(\mu^+\mu^-)$

Near threshold peak, called Y(4140)

Significance: ~4σ

Yield =14±5

M= 4143.0 ± 2.9 (stat)± 1.2 (syst) MeV

Γ = $11.7^{+8.3}_{-5.0}$ (stat) ± 3.7(syst) MeV

Not likely to be charonium:

High mass w/ narrow width

Update from CDF w/ 6.0 fb^{-1} (2010)





arXiv:1101.6058 [hep-ex]

$Yield_1$ =19±6; >5σ

$Yield_2$ =22±8; 3.1σ

M_1= $4143.4^{+2.9}_{-3.0}$ (stat)± 0.6 (syst) MeV

M_2= $4277.4^{+8.4}_{-6.7}$ (stat)± 1.9 (syst) MeV

Γ_1 = $15.3^{+10.4}_{-6.1}$ (stat) ± 2.5(syst) MeV

Γ_2= $32.3.7^{+21.9}_{-15.3}$ (stat) ± 7.6(syst) MeV

χ^2/dof between old and new Δm is 7.2/3, p-value=6.5% w/ four regions

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+\to Y(4140)K^+, Y(4140)\to J/\psi\phi)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+\to J/\psi\phi K^+)} = 0.149 \pm 0.039(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.034(\mathrm{syst})$$

Belle: Confirm or Refute? (2009, 2010)



Y(4140): 7.5 +4.9/-4.4events
Statistical significance: 1.9σ
Signal could not be identified.



Summary

−*B factories suffer from low pt track efficiency*

−*Belle cannot confirm or deny the existence of Y(4140)*

−*Tevatron edge over B factories:*
Low p_T kaons are boosted from B momentum

no verdict from Babar

Note: CDF and Belle do not contradict each other.
In Belle, B meson at rest on Υ(4S) rest frame, Kaon momentum from φ decay is low, especially just above J/ψφ threshold
→ lower reconstruction efficiency.

Kenkichi Miyabayashi
(Nara Women's Univ.)
2010 May QWG7

QWG 2010

LHC_b: Contests CDF Report (2011)





LHC_b confirms neither structure(s)

2.4σ disagreement with CDF measurement @90% CL:

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to X(4140)K^+) \times \mathcal{B}(X(4140) \to J/\psi\,\phi)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi\,\phi K^+)} < 0.07.$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to X(4274)K^+) \times \mathcal{B}(X(4274) \to J/\psi\,\phi)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi\,\phi K^+)} < 0.08$$

LHCb Versus CDF: Two Punches In The Face!

By Tommaso Dorigo | July 27th 2011 05:48 AM | 10 comments | Print | E-mail | Track

The first is the tentative observation ofa new hadron, called Y(4140), a bump observed in the invariant mass of pairs of

result. Note that, as reported in the figure, if the CDF signal were as estimated by CDF, LHCb would have been able to fit 39+-9+-6 events. The Y(4140) is on very shaky ground at the moment, and the new PDG will likely change its status in the particle zoo... This is punch number 1.



LHC_b: Contests CDF Report (2011)



LHCb is specifically designed to select Bottom/charm/exotic-quarkonium particles and the products of their decays

Excellent lepton and hadron Identification

Excellent mass resolution
~2.5 MeV for X(3872) decays



BUT LHCb did not confirm the existence of Y(4140). A serious challenge!

A result from a 3^{rd} experiment is important!

For instance, CMS?

The CMS Detector



Relevant sub-detectors

CMS Detector Performance

Excellent muon/silicon detectors for quarkonium:

- *Muon system*
  - *High-purity muon identification*
  - *Good dimuon mass resolution ($\Delta m/m \sim 0.6\%$ for J/Ψ)*
- *Silicon Tracking detector*
  - *excellent track momentum resolution ($\Delta p_T/p_T \sim 1\%$)*
  - *excellent vertex reconstruction and impact parameter resolution*



LHC luminosity and CMS trigger:

- *collect data at increasing instantaneous luminosity*
  - *about 5fb⁻¹ from 2011 data at √s=7 TeV*
    (used for this analysis)
- *Triggers are essential ingredients*
  - *Special trigger for different analysis*
    For this analysis:
    displaced dimuon vertex &
    minimum (di)muon transverse momentum



Analysis strategy (CMS 2012)

- *I) Reconstruct B^+ as:*

$$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi\, K^+$$
$$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$$
$$\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$$

- *II) Search for structure in $J/\psi\phi$ mass spectrum inside B^+ mass window*



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsBPH11026

CMS: BPH-11-026

Event Selections (CMS 2012)

--$|\eta|$ for all tracks <=2.4

--probability(χ^2) for J/ψ vertex fit>10%, probability(χ^2) for B^+ vertex fit>1%

--p_T(kaon track)>1 GeV

--J/ψ vertex flight length significance >=3

&

Dataset A: $p_T(J/\psi)$>7 GeV

Dataset B: $p_T(J/\psi)$>7 GeV & $p_T(\mu^+/\mu^-)$>4 GeV

--mass window:

J/ψ (±150 MeV) and ϕ in [1.008, 1.035] GeV (Breit-Wigner shape)

constraint $\mu^+\mu^-$ to J/ψ PDG mass value

Requirements are not optimized to be unbiased, confirm trigger requirements

The B Signal (CMS 2012)

$B^+ \to J/\psi\phi K^+$ decay



Signal PDF: Gaussian

Background PDF:
2nd-order Chebyshev polynomial

Mass: consistent with PDG value

Width: consistent with simulation

Largest $B^+ \to J/\psi\phi K^+$ sample collected in the world up to date

~20 times of CDF statistics (115±12); ~7.2 of LHCb statistics (346±20)

J/Ψ and ϕ Signal (CMS 2012)

$m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ before forming the B signal



The B^+ sideband subtracted $m(K^+K^-)$ where $m(J/\psi\phi K^+)$ is within $\pm 3\sigma$ of $m(B^+)$



- *A clear and clean J/Ψ signal*
- *Nice ϕ lineshape, consistent with PDG parameters*
- *$B(J/\Psi\phi K^+)$ dominates after ϕ mass restriction*

J/ψφ Invariant Mass Spectrum(**CMS 2012**)

- *The mass difference $\Delta m = m(\mu^+\mu^-K^+K^-) - m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ is used*

- *Extracting the Δm spectrum*
  - *Divide the dataset into the 20 MeV Δm bins*
  - *Extract the number of B events for each Δm by fitting the $J/\psi\phi K$ spectrum*
    - *Means fixed to the PDG B mass*
    - *RMS fixed to the signal MC values*
  - *Plot the B yield as a function of Δm*

$p_T(J/\psi)>7GeV$ — *$p_T(J/\psi)>7GeV$ & $p_T(\mu)>4GeV$*



correct the spectrum by efficiency before fitting

Relative efficiency over Δm : approx. flat

Background Shape Studies (**CMS 2012**)

The phase space Dalitz projection on $m^2(J/\psi\phi)$
generated events (red)
Vs
reconstructed events (black)



CMS detector does not produce peaks
Also imply relative flat efficiency

Sideband subtracted KKK mass
Phase Space MC (red)
Vs
data (black)



Possible K(1770)?*
Does it effect Δm?

Background Shape Studies **(CMS 2012)**



Event mixing to study the Δm shape

--J/Ψ, ϕ, K^+ from different event

--ϕ, K^+ from the same event, J/Ψ from different event. This is to get the impact on Δm from possible ϕK^+ resonances

Event-mixing Δm shapes are slightly distorted compared to three-body phase space

However, the possible effect is on high Δm region and the three-body phase space shape is more conservative at low Δm region where the two structures are observed.

Preliminary ϕK^+ **Resonances Studies (CMS 2012)**

- *Generated simple Dalitz plot for known K* (ϕK^+). No similar structures seen in m($J/\Psi\phi$) from reflections of these known K*.*
- *No evidence of structures or deviation from phase space background shape found in m(ϕK^+) mass distribution after removing the two structures in m($J/\Psi\phi$) in the data*
- *Possible structure(s) in m($J/\Psi K^+$)? No evidence so far*
- *Possible interference ? Could affect lineshape parameters, do not expect much since no evident big signal(s)*
- *A full amplitude analysis is more suitable, but limited by statistics and high non-B combinatoric background w/ current dataset*
- *In progress…*

Null- and Signal-hypothesis Fits

	Mass (MeV)	Signal Yield
First Peak	1051.5 ± 2.0	355 ± 46
Second Peak	1220.0 ± 3.0	445 ± 83

Background: 3-body phase space
Signal: S-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner functions convolved with a Gaussian resolution function

Significance: >5σ for 1st peak
evidence for 2nd peak

background only hypothesis



background + 1 signal



background + 2 signal



Robust Checks

- *Many checks to investigate the robustness of the two structures*
  - *Variations on selection cuts, different background and signal shapes, different Δm binning…*
  - *Different Background-subtraction technique: sPlot*

    sPlot is a technique of background-subtraction by weighting each event based on observed signal to background ratio.



Robust Checks

- All main requirements are varied step by step to investigate possible bias
- Each sideband-subtracted Δm distribution is compared to the default one
- No indication of bias was found
  one example with tighter cuts and purer B sample is shown below:





- B purity ~60% within ±1.5σ of $m(B^+)$
- similar Δm spectrum

Result

- *The efficiency-corrected $\Delta m = m(\mu^+\mu^-K^+K^-) - m(\mu^+\mu^-)$*



	Mass (MeV)	Signal Yield
First Peak	1051.5 ± 2.0	355 ± 46
Second Peak	1220.0 ± 3.0	445 ± 83

m_1= 4148.2±2.0(stat.)±4.6 (syst.) MeV
m_2= 4316.7±3.0(stat.)±7.3 (syst.) MeV

- *observed a $J/\psi\phi$ structure at 4148MeV with a significance greater than 5σ*

confirms the existence of Y(4140) for the first time from another experiment

CDF Y(4140): $m=4143.4^{+2.9}_{-3.0}$ (stat) ± 0.6 (syst)

- *evidence for a second structure at ~4317MeV in the same mass spectrum*

What is it?



2nd peak

Y(4140)

- *Well **above** charm pair threshold*
- *Expect **tiny** BF to $J/\psi\phi$*
- *Does **not** fit into charmonium*
- *Close $J/\psi\phi$ threshold like Y(3940)*
- *What is it? Molecule/ hybrid/threshold CUSP?*

Possible Interpretation of the Structures?

http://indico.ifj.edu.pl/MaKaC/materialDisplay.py?contribId=832&sessionId=19&materialId=slides&confId=11 *(last page)*

- *Possible J^{PC}: S-wave: 0^{++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++}*
  P-wave: 0^{-+}, 1^{-+}, 2^{-+}, 3^{-+}
- *Lattice QCD for 1^{-+} ($c\bar{c}g$): 4.3± 0.05 GeV*
- *M= 4316.7 ± 3.0(stat) ± 7.3(syst) MeV (CMS 2nd structure)*
- *Can the 2nd structure be 1^{-+} hybrid? expect to see it in $J/\Psi\omega$ if so*

- ***What is the 1st structure? The same kind or it can be a different kind compared to the 2nd one?***
  Similar to Y(3940), both close to VV threshold? Same kind?

A topic to be investigated & more in $B^+ \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi K^+$

Sookyung Choi (Belle), EPS 2009





Search Possible Charged Exotics in J/ΨK⁺ Spectrum

arXiv: 0708.3496 [hep-ph], Jonathan Rosner
Mechanism to produce $Z^+(4430)$:
$B \rightarrow K\, Z^+(4430)$, $Z^+(4430) \rightarrow \Psi(2S)\pi^+$







Same mechanism, we can search for:

$B^+ \rightarrow \phi Z^+(xxxx)$, $Z^+(xxxx) \rightarrow J/\Psi K^+$

$K^+ J/\Psi$

Summary

- *CMS observed two structures in the $J/\psi\phi$ spectrum at 4148 MeV and 4317MeV using 5.2 fb^{-1} of data at 7 TeV collision energy*

m_1 = 4148.2 ± 2.0 (stat.) ± 4.6 (syst.) MeV (>5sigma)
m_2 = 4316.7 ± 3.0 (stat.) ± 7.3 (syst.) MeV (>3sigma)

- *Confirm the existence of the Y(4140), consistent with CDF result*

  &

  find evidence for a second structure

- *Preliminary investigation find no evidence of reflection from K**

- *More to be expected with the large data sample (4X) from 2012*

Stay tuned!


CDF Run II Preliminary L=6.0 fb-1
Candidates per 10 MeV/c2
m(μ+μ-K+K-)-m(μ+μ-) GeV/c2
CDF
flat relative efficiency
Events / (0.01 GeV/c2)
M(J/ψ φ) (GeV/c2)
Belle
Belle preliminary
Efficiency
M(J/ψφ) [GeV]
(Relative) Efficiency
LHCb
B+→ J/ψφ K+
(a)
Candidates / 4 MeV
LHCb
Relative efficiency
LHCb simulation
B+→ J/ψφK+
(Relative) Efficiency
M(J/ψφ)-M(J/ψ) [MeV]
CMS, √s=7 TeV, L=5.2 fb-1
Candidates per 20 MeV
m(μ+μ-K+K-)-m(μ+μ-) GeV
CMS
relative efficiency corrected
CDF/BELLE/LHCb are raw distributions w/o efficiency correction

Mini Summary of near VV threshold behavior







PRD 77, 012001(2008)







I(V,V)=0, observed near VV threshold enhancement, through (double) OZI suppressed process

I(V)=1, no clear enhancement
Skip complicated ωω, ρρ

Observed near V(I=0)V (I=0) threshold enhancement. Strong decay. Above (qq'+q'q) threshold. What are they?

Exotic J^{PC}

- *For $q\bar{q}$ meson system, let **L** to be the orbital angular momentum.*
  *The meson spin **J** is given by |**L-S**|<**J**<|**L+S**|,*
  *where **S=0** (antiparallel quark spin) or **1** (parallel quark spin)*

- *The parity P and charge parity C of the meson system can be expressed as:*
  $P=(-1)^{L+1}$
  $C=(-1)^{L+S}$

- *In the configuration of $P=(-1)^J$, **S=1, CP=+1,** ⇒*
  ***Exotic J^{PC}** (not allowed for $q\bar{q}$ meson):*
  0^{--}, 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, 2^{+-},...
  But exotic mesons can have these JPC due to additional degree of freedom.

- *Identify **exotic J^{PC}** is helpful to identify **exotic mesons***