



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location

UMF

Date

09/02/2015

Contents

Contents	1
1 Field integrals	2
1.1 Vertical field integrals	2
1.2 Horizontal field integrals	2
2 Measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors	6
2.1 Gap 7.2 mm	6
2.2 Gap 8.0 mm	6
2.3 Gap 10 mm	6
2.4 Gap 15 mm	6
2.5 Gap 20 mm	6
2.6 Gap 25 mm	7
2.7 Gap 50, 100 and 180 mm	20

1 Field integrals

The field integrals are measured with a 3.735 m long flip coil. The diameter of the flip coil is approximately 4 mm. The vertical and horizontal field integrals have been measured on the vertical axis out from the horizontal center of the undulator in 2 mm steps out to ± 20 mm horizontal deviation from the undulator axis. The multipoles up to octupole order have been found by fitting a cubic polynomial over the five central points over ± 4 mm horizontal deviation from the undulator axis.

1.1 Vertical field integrals

Figure 1 shows the measured vertical field integrals at 9 different gaps. Table 1 gives the multipoles calculated from the measured field integrals by using a cubic polynomial over the 5 central points over the horizontal range ± 4 mm out from the undulator axis. The background vertical field integral in UMF measured without an undulator installed is -125 Gcm.

1.2 Horizontal field integrals

Figure 2 shows the measured horizontal field integrals at 9 different gaps. Table 2 gives the skew multipoles calculated from the measured field integrals by using a cubic polynomial over the 5 central points over the horizontal range ± 4 mm out from the undulator axis. The background horizontal field integral in UMF measured without an undulator installed is 47 Gcm.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location

UMF

Date

09/02/2015




Figure 1: Vertical field integrals measured with a 3.735 m long flip coil.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location

UMF

Date

09/02/2015




Figure 2: Horizontal field integrals measured with a 3.735 m long flip coil.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location

UMF

Date

09/02/2015

Table 1: Normal, i.e. vertical, multipoles.

Gap mm	Dipole Gcm	Quadrupole G	Sextupole G/cm	Octupole G/cm ²
7.2	14 ±0.1	8 ±0.5	301 ±0.7	-16 ±3.2
8.0	19 ±0.4	-31 ±2.6	282 ±3.6	-34 ±17.9
10	16 ±0.9	-25 ±6	209 ±8.5	-6 ±41.8
15	13 ±0.3	10 ±2.2	106 ±3	-8 ±15
20	13 ±0.1	18 ±0.6	59 ±0.8	15 ±4
25	8 ±0.3	28 ±1.9	29 ±2.6	-27 ±13
50	-20 ±1.2	32 ±8	-8 ±11.2	-55 ±55.2
100	-43 ±0.1	7 ±1	1 ±1.4	11 ±6.9
180	-44 ±0.6	3 ±3.8	-1 ±5.3	-2 ±26.1

Table 2: Skew, i.e. horizontal, multipoles.

Gap mm	Dipole Gcm	Quadrupole G	Sextupole G/cm	Octupole G/cm ²
7.2	-12 ±4.8	-166 ±32.9	-51 ±46.3	229 ±228.4
8.0	-5 ±1.4	-103 ±9.8	-40 ±13.8	125 ±68.2
10	-5 ±2.8	-88 ±19.2	-50 ±27	95 ±133.3
15	-20 ±0.9	-37 ±5.9	-38 ±8.3	140 ±41.1
20	-20 ±1.9	-29 ±13.3	-39 ±18.7	59 ±92
25	-12 ±0.4	-57 ±2.6	34 ±3.6	-64 ±17.8
50	51 ±1.9	-257 ±13	-58 ±18.2	-161 ±89.9
100	71 ±2.7	-246 ±18.6	15 ±26.2	177 ±129.3
180	63 ±6.7	-23 ±45.9	64 ±64.5	-139 ±318.1

	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	Magnet Measurement Protocol	<u>Undulator</u> HXU-32	<u>Run #</u> 3	<u>Page</u> 5 of 22
<u>Authors</u> E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks		<u>Title:</u> Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations	<u>Location</u> UMF	<u>Date</u> 09/02/2015	

2 Measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors

The magnetic fields have been measured on the undulator axis in 4400 mm long Hall probe scans. The field integral found with the flip coil is used for normalizing the magnetic fields measured with the Hall probe system. The normalization is done by adding or subtracting a constant field over the magnetic structure of the undulator. The constant that is added corresponds to the difference between the flip coil and the Hall probe data over the length of the flip coil.

The Hall probe scans have been carried out at 9 different gaps; 7.2, 8.0, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 100, and 180 mm. The magnetic fields have been analyzed for the gap range 7.2-25 mm. In the analysis the function of the autotocorrection system for the beam position in the accelerator has been simulated by using virtual coils in the beginning and end of the 4.4 m long scan to correct the orbit of the beam passing through the undulator.

2.1 Gap 7.2 mm

The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 7.2 mm gap is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, which shows the same analysis with the beam path corrected by virtual coils in the beginning and end of the scan.

2.2 Gap 8.0 mm

The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 8.0 mm gap is shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, which shows the same analysis with the beam path corrected by virtual coils in the beginning and end of the scan.

2.3 Gap 10 mm

The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 10 mm gap is shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8, which shows the same analysis with the beam path corrected by virtual coils in the beginning and end of the scan.

2.4 Gap 15 mm

The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 15 mm gap is shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10, which shows the same analysis with the beam path corrected by virtual coils in the beginning and end of the scan.

2.5 Gap 20 mm

The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 20 mm gap is shown in Figure 11 and Figure 12, which shows the same analysis with the beam path corrected by virtual coils in the beginning and end of the scan.

 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	Magnet Measurement Protocol	<u>Undulator</u> HXU-32	<u>Run #</u> 3	<u>Page</u> 6 of 22
<u>Authors</u> E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks	<u>Title:</u> Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations	<u>Location</u> UMF	<u>Date</u> 09/02/2015	

2.6 Gap 25 mm

The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 25 mm gap is shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14, which shows the same analysis with the beam path corrected by virtual coils in the beginning and end of the scan.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location UMF

Date 09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 7.2 mm

Beff: 1.286 T

20150902

Without correction coils

1st Int Ix : -5.43 [μTm]

1st Int Iz : -6.53 [μTm]

2nd Int Jx : 15.96 [μTm^2]

2nd Int Jz : 4.73 [μTm^2]

Beam energy: 4 GeV







Figure 3: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 7.2 mm gap.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location UMF

Date 09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 7.2 mm

Beff: 1.286 T

20150902

With 0.1 m coils at ends of scan

US H-Coil : -9.3 [μTm]

US V-Coil : -7.74 [μTm]

DS H-Coil : 3.87 [μTm]

DS V-Coil : 1.21 [μTm]








Figure 4: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 7.2 mm gap with the beam path corrected by virtual coils.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location UMF

Date 09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 8 mm

Beff: 1.1618 T

20150902

Without correction coils

1st Int Ix : 5.45 [μTm]

1st Int Iz : -3.12 [μTm]

2nd Int Jx : 50.94 [μTm^2]

2nd Int Jz : -74.95 [μTm^2]

Beam energy: 4 GeV








Figure 5: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 8.0 mm gap.

Authors
E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:
Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location
UMF

Date
09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm
Gap: 8 mm
Beff: 1.1618 T
20150902
With 0.1 m coils at ends of scan
US H-Coil : -6.37 [μ Tm]
US V-Coil : 14.26 [μ Tm]
DS H-Coil : 11.82 [μ Tm]
DS V-Coil : -17.37 [μ Tm]








Figure 6: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 8.0 mm gap with the beam path corrected by virtual coils.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location
UMF

Date
09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 10 mm

Beff: 0.9061 T

20150902

Without correction coils

1st Int Ix : 9.72 [μTm]

1st Int Iz : -5.72 [μTm]

2nd Int Jx : 58 [μTm^2]

2nd Int Jz : -72.27 [μTm^2]

Beam energy: 4 GeV




Figure 7: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 10 mm gap.

Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location
UMF

Date
09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 10 mm

Beff: 0.9061 T

20150902

With 0.1 m coils at ends of scan

US H-Coil : -3.68 [μ Tm]

US V-Coil : 10.99 [μ Tm]

DS H-Coil : 13.39 [μ Tm]

DS V-Coil : -16.71 [μ Tm]








Figure 8: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 10 mm gap with the beam path corrected by virtual coils.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location UMF

Date 09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 15 mm

Beff: 0.51887 T

20150902

Without correction coils

1st Int Ix : -6.21 [μ Tm]

1st Int Iz : -7.79 [μ Tm]

2nd Int Jx : 8.51 [μ Tm²]

2nd Int Jz : -59.86 [μ Tm²]

Beam energy: 4 GeV







Figure 9: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 15 mm gap.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location UMF

Date 09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 15 mm

Beff: 0.51887 T

20150902

With 0.1 m coils at ends of scan

US H-Coil : -8.36 [μ Tm]

US V-Coil : 5.99 [μ Tm]

DS H-Coil : 2.15 [μ Tm]

DS V-Coil : -13.79 [μ Tm]







Figure 10: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 15 mm gap with the beam path corrected by virtual coils.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location UMF

Date 09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 20 mm

Beff: 0.30983 T

20150902

Without correction coils

1st Int Ix : -5.51 [μTm]

1st Int Iz : -7.83 [μTm]

2nd Int Jx : 6.31 [μTm^2]

2nd Int Jz : -44.78 [μTm^2]

Beam energy: 4 GeV







Figure 11: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 20 mm gap.

 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	Magnet Measurement Protocol	Undulator HXU-32	Run # 3	Page 16 of 22
		Authors E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks	Title: Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations	Location UMF

HXU-32
 Period: 32 mm
 Gap: 20 mm
 Beff: 0.30983 T
 20150902
 With 0.1 m coils at ends of scan
 US H-Coil : -7.14 [μ Tm]
 US V-Coil : 2.45 [μ Tm]
 DS H-Coil : 1.63 [μ Tm]
 DS V-Coil : -10.28 [μ Tm]







Figure 12: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 20 mm gap with the beam path corrected by virtual coils.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location UMF

Date 09/02/2015

HXU-32
 Period: 32 mm
 Gap: 25 mm
 Beff: 0.18763 T
 20150902
 Without correction coils
 1st Int Ix : 0.27 [μTm]
 1st Int Iz : -12.04 [μTm]
 2nd Int Jx : 21.86 [μTm^2]
 2nd Int Jz : -39.43 [μTm^2]
 Beam energy: 4 GeV







Figure 13: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 25 mm gap.

Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location
UMF

Date
09/02/2015

HXU-32

Period: 32 mm

Gap: 25 mm

Beff: 0.18763 T

20150902

With 0.1 m coils at ends of scan

US H-Coil : -4.88 [μ Tm]

US V-Coil : -3.07 [μ Tm]

DS H-Coil : 5.14 [μ Tm]

DS V-Coil : -8.96 [μ Tm]









Figure 14: The measured fields, angles, trajectories and phase errors for the HXU-32 at 25 mm gap with the beam path corrected by virtual coils.

 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	Magnet Measurement Protocol	<u>Undulator</u> HXU-32	<u>Run #</u> 3	<u>Page</u> 19 of 22
<u>Authors</u> E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez, A. Madur, S. Marks	<u>Title:</u> Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations	<u>Location</u> UMF	<u>Date</u> 09/02/2015	

2.7 Gap 50, 100 and 180 mm

The measured vertical fields for the gaps 50, 100 and 180 mm are shown in Figure 15 and the corresponding horizontal fields are shown in Figure 16 .



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location
UMF

Date
09/02/2015




Figure 15: The measured vertical fields for the HXU-32 at the gaps 50, 100 and 180 mm.



Authors

E. Wallen, D. Arbalaez,
A. Madur, S. Marks

Title:

Measurements on HXU-32 after 2 tuning iterations

Location
UMF

Date
09/02/2015




Figure 16: The measured horizontal fields for the HXU-32 at the gaps 50, 100 and 180 mm.