EXHIBIT IX

PROCUREMENT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT MODEL (PROAM) "Gauge Model" STANFORD LINEAR ACCELERATOR CENTER

FY 2004 PROCUREMENT SYSTEM APPROVAL ASSESSMENT

	Performance Measures/Measured Activities	Gradient 60/70/80/90/100	Activity Value	Activity Score	Criteria	Total Points for Activity	Performance Objectives	
1.0	Customer Perspective	00/10/00/90/100	value	ocore		IOI ACTIVITY	Objectives	
	одология готоровано							
1.1	Customer Satisfaction Rating							
1.1.a	% of satisfied customers (using PO transactional surveys)	<62/72/82/92/ <u>></u> 92	10	10	Customer		Customer	
1.1.b	% of satisfied BIS operators (using climate survey)	<57/67/77/87/ <u>></u> 87	0	0	Feedback	10	Satisfaction	
						10	10	
						L	10	
2.0	Internal Business Process Perspective							
2.1	Overall Compliance Review							
	Average % of system elements in full compliance with stakeholder requirements (annual	75/00/05/00//	30	20	System Evaluation			
	self-assessment review)	<75/80/85/90//>90	30	30	System Evaluation	30		
						30		
2.2	Measuring Effectiveness					F		
	Average Cycle Time (Days), Transactions ≥ \$100K	30 to 35	15	15				
	Average Cycle Time (Days), Transactions < \$100K	8 to 10	0	0		1	Management of	
2.2.0	Average Cycle Time (Days), All Actions	10 to 13	0	0	Pursuing Best Practices		Internal Business	
	% of transactions placed through alternative/rapid purchasing techniques	<u><</u> 65/70/75/80/ <u>></u> 80	5	3		23	Processes 63	
2.2.6	% of transactions placed through E-Commerce	<u><</u> 1/2/3/4/ <u>></u> 5	5	5		25		
2.3	Effective Supplier Management						70	
	% of on-time deliveries of key suppliers	<53/63/73/83/ <u>></u> 83	5	3	Measuring Supplier			
					Performance	3		
2.4	Casia annumia Culturation					5		
2.4	Socio-economic Subcontracting			_				
	The Laboratory makes significant efforts to meet socioeconomic goals.	As Negotiated With DOE	5	5	Socioeconomic			
	Use of Effective Competition					5		91
	De 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			_		5		
2.5	% of total dollars obligated on actions over \$100K using competition	<u><</u> 15/15/20/25/ <u>></u> 30	5	2	Subcontracting			
					Competition	2		
						5		
3.0	Financial Perspective							
3.1	Cost to Spend Ratio							
	Purchasing organization cost compared to total purchasing obligations	>\$.034/\$.031/\$.028/\$.025/ <u><</u> \$.025	10	8	Process Cost		Managing Financial	
						8	Aspects 8	
			~~~~			10	10	
4.0	Learning and Growth Perspective							
	Fundame Catiofaction							
4.1	Employee Satisfaction	FF 10F 17F 10F 1 OF	- E	5	Employee			
	% of satisfied employees (using climate survey)	<55/65/75/85/ <u>&gt;</u> 85	5	5	Employee Feedback	5 [		
4.2	Employee Alighnment				reeuback	5	Learning and Growth	
7.2	Alignment of individual goals with organizational goals	<70/75/80/85/>90	5	5	Measuring Availability	است	Learning and Growth	
	Million of individual goals with organizational goals	<u>&lt;10/13/60/63/2</u> 90		, 	of Information		10	
					OI IIIIOIIIIaliOII	5	,0	
						5		
							>=90 Outstanding	
1							>=80 Excellent	
							>=70 Good	
1							>=60 Marginal	
							<60 Unsatisfactory	
					·			