

Short Prototype Magnetic Measurements

Diego Arbelaez Release Date: Jan 24 2022 10:11:25 AM PST

Document Number: LC-1006-3218 Revision: A

Document Status: Released Document Type: NOTE Category Code: LC0119

LCLS-II - UNDULATORS SXR-HE SHORT MAGNETIC PROTOTYPE





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Revision History	.2
2	Approvals	.2
3	Abbreviations and Acronyms	.2
4	Introduction	.3
5	Requirements	.3
6	Measurement Results	. 5
7	Discussion of Requirement Satisfaction	11
8	Reference Documents	12

1 REVISION HISTORY

Rev.	CM Number	Description of Change
A		Baseline

2 APPROVALS

The following individual(s) shall approve this document:

Approver	Project Role	
Matthew Williamson	CAM	
Windchill Approved / Concurred By		
mdwilliamson	ntrol Center	

3 ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

LCLS-II	Linac Coherent Light Source
LCLC-II-HE	LCLS-II High Energy Upgrade
LBNL	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
SXR	Soft X-Ray
SXU	Soft X-Ray Undulator





4 INTRODUCTION

For the high-energy upgrade of the LCLS-II, the existing SXR undulator will be reconfigured to have a longer period length due to the increase in electron energy from 4 to 8 GeV. The period length of the undulator will be changed from 39 mm for the original SXR undulators to 56 mm for the new SXR undulators. This requires that new magnetic modules be designed, assembled, and tested. This note describes the magnetic measurements that were performed on a short prototype (14 pole) of the LCLS-II-HE SXU magnet modules. The magnetic design for the LCLS-II-HE SXR undulators is covered in LBNL document number LC-1006-1957. The mechanical design of the magnet modules is covered in LC-1006-2180. The assembly process and mechanical measurements for the short prototype, that is the topic of this note, are covered in LC-1006-2727.

5 REQUIREMENTS

In this section the LCLS-II-HE SXU requirements that are relevant to the short prototype are described. The listed requirements are the relevant subset from the LCLS-II-HE Physics Requirement Document (LCLSII-HE-1.3-PR-0049-R1). Undulator The undulator has been designed with a period length of 56 mm. This is verified through mechanical and magnetic measurements. The undulator is of planar hybrid permanent magnet type (soft magnetic poles and NdFeB permanent magnets) and produces horizontally polarized radiation (vertical field orientation). The operational gap range is 7.2 mm to 33 mm, and the effective magnetic field requirement at minimum gap (7.2 mm) is 1.76 T. The effective field requirement (PRD0049.4026) can be fully verified on the short prototype¹. The K value requirement at minimum gap (PRD0049.4027) is redundant since the period length is specified separately. For the full length undulators, the relative K value must be repeatable to within ±5.5×10⁻⁴ (PRD0049.4033). This requirement is listed here, but is not considered part of the short undulator prototype test since it is strongly dependent on the undulator mechanical system. The short prototype mechanics do not appropriately represent the anticipated behavior for the full length undulator mechanical system. For the horizontal field roll-off, two equivalent / redundant requirements are specified (PRD0049.4034, PRD0049.4035). These can also be fully verified on the short prototype. The final requirements listed are related to field quality: phase shake, x/y field integrals, and x/y second field integrals. The short prototype can give useful information in relation to the field quality requirements. However, since these

¹ The effective field strength depends strongly on the as received permanent magnet and pole properties. For production, the properties are specified in documents LC-1006-1866 and LC-1006-1820. For the short prototype, manufacturer material catalog grades, with similar properties as for the production specification, were specified. LCLS-II-HE Project





requirements depend strongly on undulator length, the short prototype results do not directly imply requirement satisfaction for full length undulators.

Requirement #	Parameter	unit	Value	Verif. Method
PRD0049.4014	Undulator period length	mm	56	Test
PRD0049.4018	PRD0049.4018 Undulator type		Planar	Inspection
PRD0049.4019	Undulator magnet type	-	PM Hybrid	Inspection
PRD0049.4020	Gap type	-	Variable	Inspection
PRD0049.4021	Magnet material	-	Nd ₂ Fe ₁₄ B	Inspection
	Linear polarization			
	direction of the x-ray			
PRD0049.4022	radiation	-	Horizontal	Inspection
PRD0049.4023	Magnetic Field Symmetry	-	Antisymmetric	Inspection
	Minimum operational	-		
PRD0049.4024	magnetic gap	mm	7.2	Inspection
	Maximum operational			
PRD0049.4025	magnetic gap	mm	33	Inspection
	On-axis vertical effective			
PRD0049.4026	field at min. oper. gap	Т	>1.76	Test/ Analysis
	Keff at minimum			
PRD0049.4027	operational gap		>9.21	Test/ Analysis
	Minimum operational K			
PRD0049.4029	values	T	1.51	Test/ Analysis
	Undulator parameter			
PRD0049.4033	tolerance $\Delta K_{eff}/K_{eff}$		±5.5×10 ⁻⁴	Test/ Analysis
	Horizontal K sextupole			
PRD0049.4034	$\left \frac{1}{2}(1/K_{eff})\partial^2 K_{eff}/\partial x^2\right $	1/mm²	<5×10 ⁻⁴	Test/ Analysis
	Equivalent $\Delta K/K@$ x =	Jonti	noi Len	ter
PRD0049.4035	±0.4 mm	-	<0.8×10 ⁻⁴	Test/ Analysis
	Phase shake (rms) over			
PRD0049.4038	Lcell	deg Xray	<5.0	Test/ Analysis
	First field integral of By per	_		
PRD0049.4040	cell (abs) 10	μlm	<50	Test/ Analysis
	Second field integral of By	.	.200	Test
PKD0049.4041	per cell (abs)	μιm ²	<200	iest/ Analysis
	First field integral of BX per	uTm	<50	Tast/Analysis
PKD0049.4042	Cell (dDS)	μım	<50	Test/ Analysis
	second neid integral of BX	uTm ²	<200	Tast/Analysis
PKD0049.4043	per cell (abs)	µım⁼	<200	Test/ Analysis

Table 1. Undulator requirements as specified in document LCLSII-HE-1.3-PR-0049-R1.





6 MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The short prototype magnet modules were assembled at LBNL and sent to SLAC for magnetic characterization (measurement performed by Y. Levashov). Figure 1 shows the hall probe measurement setup at SLAC, including the magnet modules mounted on the short test frame.



Figure 1. Hall probe measurement setup for the short prototype (left) and short magnet modules after final assembly (right). The short magnet modules are mounted on the 1 m short frame from the LCLS-II project.

Figure 2 shows the vertical magnetic field measured at the minimum gap of 7.2 mm. Note that the antisymmetric field symmetry (req. # **PRD0049.4023**) is demonstrated here. The measured period length, based on the peak field at the poles (first and last three poles are excluded), is 56.004 mm (req. # **PRD0049.4014**). Figure 3 shows the measured effective field requirement. The measured effective field value is 1.85 T at minimum gap, which corresponds to a Keff value of 9.69. This is approximately 5% above the requirement (**PRD0049.4026, PRD0049.4027**).







Figure 2. Measured vertical magnetic field along the short prototype length.



Figure 3. Measured effective field strength as a function of gap. At the minimum gap, the short prototype exceeds the required effective magnetic field strength by approximately 5% above the requirement (PRD0049.4026, PRD0049.4027).





Figure 4 shows the measured and calculated magnetic field roll-off at the maximum gap of 33 mm. The measured roll-off, based on a quadratic fit of the data, is 3.5×10^{-4} 1/mm2, which satisfies the required value of 5.0×10^{-4} 1/mm2 (**PRD0049.4034**). This also satisfies the redundant requirement, **PRD0049.4035**, with a $\Delta K/K@$ x = ±0.4 mm of 5.6×10^{-5} .



Figure 4. Magnetic field roll-off measurement at maximum gap (33 mm) and calculation comparison. The measured magnetic field roll-off is $3.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ 1/mm}^2$, which satisfies the requirement of $5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ 1/mm}^2$ (PRD0049.4034, PRD0049.4035).

In the remainder of this section, the field quality requirements are considered: RMS phase error (i.e. phase shake), vertical and horizontal first field integral, and vertical and horizontal second field integrals. As it relates to a full length undulator, the short prototype results do not imply satisfaction of the requirements; however, they do provide useful feedback on the design. Figure 5 shows the calculated phase error at the peak field locations (left) and the resulting RMS phase error (phase shake) as a function of the undulator gap (right). Note that these are the as-built results with no phase tuning. The RMS phase error for this short prototype is largest at 10 mm gap with a value of 2.4° . For reference, this is below the full length undulator requirement of 5° (**PRD0049.4038**).







Figure 5. Calculated phase error at the poles from measurement data at 7.2 mm gap (left). Calculated RMS phase error for gap range between 7.2 mm and 30 mm (right). The results presented are without tuning.

Figure 6 and Figure 7 show the first and second field integral measurements in the horizontal and vertical directions. The vertical field integral, Figure 6 (right), shows a fast change below approximately 10 mm gap. Tuning methods to correct these fast changing errors were developed at SLAC by combining pole adjustments with ferromagnetic shims. The resulting field integrals after tuning are also shown in Figure 6. Within the operational gap range, the absolute value resulting field integrals in x and y is below 18 μ Tm after tuning. For reference, the full length undulator requirement is 50 μ Tm (PRD0049.4040, PRD0049.4042). The measured second field integrals are shown in Figure 7. For reference, the bounds of the plots represent the full length undulator requirements (**PRD0049.4041**, **PRD0049.4043**); however, the results do not imply satisfaction of the full length undulator requirements. This is especially true since the short undulator length leads to small second field integral drift due to first integral errors. Useful information can still be obtained for the end design with respect to the entrance and exit second field integral "jumps". Figure 8 shows the measured and calculated vertical second field integral along the length of the undulator. As can be seen from the plot, there is a "jump" in the second field integral at each end of the undulator of approximately 20 µTm². Based on the simulation results from OPERA3D, this "jump" is expected to be lower for the full length undulator as is shown in Figure 9, and it should only consume a small amount of the overall margin for the second integral requirement.







Figure 6. Horizontal field integral (left) and vertical field integral (right) before and after tuning.



Figure 7. Horizontal second field integral (left) and vertical second field integral (right).







Figure 8. Second field integral as a function of z. Good agreement between the measurements and calculations is seen in the "jump" of the second field integral at the entrance and exit of the undulator.



Figure 9. Calculated second field integral as a function of z for various gaps. The left plot shows the calculation for the short prototype, while the right plot shows the calculation that is representative of a long undulator (minimum of 20 poles are required for convergence). The second field integral "jump" error at the ends is expected to decrease for the full length undulators when compared to the short prototype.





7 DISCUSSION OF REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION

Table 2 shows the list of requirements along with the values achieved for the short prototype. The cells are highlighted in green where the length of the short prototype is sufficient to demonstrate satisfaction of requirements. Table 3 shows the field quality requirements. For these requirements, the short prototype length is not sufficient to imply satisfaction of the full length undulator requirements. For this reason, the measured values are highlighted in orange. The K repeatability requirement is not included here, since it is outside of the scope of the short prototype work.

Requirement # Parameter		unit	Req. Value	Meas. Value
PRD0049.4014 Undulator period length		mm	56	56.004
PRD0049.4018 Undulator type		-	Planar	Planar
PRD0049.4019	Undulator magnet type		PM Hybrid	PM Hybrid
PRD0049.4020	Gap type		Variable	Variable
PRD0049.4021	Magnet material	1	Nd ₂ Fe ₁₄ B	$Nd_2Fe_{14}B$
PRD0049.4022	Linear polarization direction of the x-ray radiation	<u> </u>	Horizontal	Horizontal
PRD0049.4023	Magnetic Field Symmetry	-	Antisymmetric	Antisymmetric
PRD0049.4024	Minimum operational magnetic gap	mm	7.2	7.2
PRD0049.4025	Maximum operational magnetic gap	mm	33	33
PRD0049.4026	On-axis vertical effective field at min. oper. gap	т	>1.76	1.85
PRD0049.4027	Keff at minimum operational gap	- 10 · · · · · · /	>9.21	9.69
PRD0049.4029	Minimum operational K values	IL FOI	1.51	1.51
PRD0049.4034	Horizontal K sextupole $\frac{\left \frac{1}{2}(1/K_{eff})\partial^2 K_{eff}/\partial x^2\right $	1/mm²	<5×10 ⁻⁴	3.5×10 ⁻⁴
PRD0049.4035	Equivalent $\Delta K/K@ x = \pm 0.4 \text{ mm}$		<0.8×10 ⁻⁴	0.56×10 ⁻⁴

Table 2. Undulator requirements as specified in document LCLSII-HE-1.3-PR-0049-R1 along with measured values.





Table 3. Undulator requirements where the short length of the prototype does not imply satisfaction of the full length undulator requirement. Measured values are shown for reference.

Requirement #	Parameter	unit	Req. Value	Meas. Value
PRD0049.4038 Phase shake (rms) over Lcell		deg Xray	<5.0	2.4
	First field integral of By per cell			
PRD0049.4040	(abs) 10	μTm	<50	18
	Second field integral of By per			
PRD0049.4041	cell (abs)	μTm²	<200	50
	First field integral of Bx per cell			
PRD0049.4042	(abs)	μTm	<50	9
	Second field integral of Bx per			
PRD0049.4043	cell (abs)	μTm²	<200	20

8 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Title			
LCLSII-HE-1.3-PR-0049-R1	LCLS-II-HE SXR Undulator System PRD			
LC-1006-1957	HE-SXR Undulator Magnetic Design			
LC-1006-2180	HE-SXR Magnet Module Design			
LC-1006-2727	Short Prototype Fabrication and Mechanical			
	Measurements			

Document Control Center



