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## Overview

$\square$ Introduction to the LHC Project
$\square$ the initial alignment
$\square$ The final alignment
$\square$ The measurements
The PLANE software
$\square$ Conclusions
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## The First Alignment

08
$\square$ From the geodetic network
measured from the position of the LEP collider main quadrupoles
$\checkmark$ Using levelling, horizontal angles, Mekometer distances, gyroscopic orientations and offset measurements

- How
$\checkmark$ Using optical level NA2, TDA5005 distances, offset measurements
$\checkmark$ Local horizontal smoothing
$\square$ Accuracy
$\checkmark$ In order to obtain the best absolute position
$\checkmark$ A relative position of
- 0.15 mm at $1 \sigma \mathrm{in} \mathrm{z}$
0.25 mm at $1 \sigma$ in $x$ and $y$


## IWAA

The Firs Alignment


## IWAA



## IWAA <br> The Final Alignment

$\square$ Operation that
$\checkmark$ Suppress the steps which pertubates the particle beams
$\checkmark$ Improve the relative accuracy of the components
$\checkmark$ Has to take place when the magnets are « cold », i.e. all the constraints have occured
$\square$ What magnets
$\checkmark$ All cryo-magnets not only the MQs
$\checkmark$ To prevent the shearing off the tubes in the interconnect
$\square$ Accuracy
$\checkmark$ Deviation to a smooth line not exceeding of 0.15 mm at 1 s
$\square$ Steps
$\checkmark$ Roll angle, Vertical and Horizontal measurements
$\checkmark$ Calculation of the smooth line with «PLANE »
$\checkmark$ Displacement of the magnets out of tolerance

## Roll angle measurement

With a special instrumentation installed on two fiducials

- No important me

Slight degradati
$\square$ Per sector, 3 da team of 2 perso measurements corrections

## WAA

## Vertical measureme

- Instruments

$\square$ Advantage
$\checkmark$ Regular sequence

Half cell $\mathbf{N + 1}$
Half cell $\mathbf{N}$

## Vertical measurement

Sector 5-6: Deviations to nominal hight

$\square$ Scale factor
$\checkmark$ a staff problem which was too tight by 0.2 mm on 800 mm
$\checkmark$ Measurements corrected by 1.00025

## Vertical measurement

Collimation problem
$\checkmark$ Check-and-adjust was giving surprising values day from the other
$\checkmark$ The difference of heights of the turning points was not the same from station N and station $\mathrm{N}+1$
$\checkmark$ the difference of distances between Stations is $\sim 11 \mathrm{~m}$
$\square$ When a significant ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{A}} \quad{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{B}}$ ion is found, measurements were corres $d A n$

## Vertical measurement



Discrepancies between both runs
No influence on the relative position of magnets
$\square$ Still to be investigated

## Vertical measurement

08

## LHC Secteur 6-7 lissage froid, Nivellement



Levelling blocked at each side of the sector on deep references
$\square$ No big deviations
Points to be moved calculated by plane (later in this talk)
Saw tooth phenomena visible for most of the sectors

## IWAR

## Vertical measurement


$\square$ Length of the wave is a half cell length $\sim 53 \mathrm{~m}$
$\square$ Probably due to a collimation error of the NA2 used during the initial alignment (unstability of the optical axis)
$\square$ Large Influence when no equality of distances

## Vertical measurement

Levelling of the LHC


Levelling has been blocked on all the deep references

- No large deviations


## Horizontal measurement

08

Half cell $\mathbf{N}+2$


Offset measurement

LHC 7-8 lissage à froid - écartométrie- distribution des résidus après compensation


Sectors 78 and 45 measured at cold
$\square$ Very good quality of the measurements

| Sector | Number of <br> measurements | r.m.s <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ | Average <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 920 | 0.051 | 0.009 |
| 78 | 877 | 0.040 | -0.007 |

## Horizontal Measurement

$\square$ For each sector, compensation avec 1 fixed pt, and orientation pt and radial constraints

- No big relative deviations
$\square$ Points to be moved calculated by «Plane»



## Smoothing with "Plane"


offset


Windowing with Plane


## Smoothing of two Sectors



Cumul [m]

## Smoothing with "Plane"

| $\square$ Vertical |  |  |  |  | $\square$ Horizontal |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stdev before smoothing $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Stdev after smoothing $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Points to } \\ \text { be } \\ \text { moved } \end{array}$ |  | Sector | Stdev before smoothing $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Stdev after smoothing (mm) | Points to be moved |
| 1-2 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 41 |  | 4-5 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 65 |
| 2-3 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 63 |  | 7-8 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 41 |
| 3-4 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 53 |  |  |  | \% |  |
| 8-1 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |

- 53 magnets moved/23\%

Good improvement of the smoothing process
$\square$ in both directions, the specification of 0.15 mm is reached
$\square$ Same accuracy in vertical and horizontal

## Conclusions

The final alignment smoothing is very important $\checkmark$ For detection of big errors or movements
$\checkmark$ to improve the quality of the relative position of magnets just before a physics run
$\square$ Instrumentation and methodology
$\checkmark$ Very good quality of the offset measurements
$\checkmark$ Still difficult to have good levelling measurements
$\square$ The results for LHC
$\checkmark$ All sectors smoothed for the roll angles and in vertical plane, only two sectors in the horizontal under cold conditions
$\checkmark$ In both planes no important relative deviations
$\checkmark \sim 53$ Magnets moved/sector
$\checkmark$ deviations under 0.15 mm rms as specified

